

## SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1

Functional ROI	x	y	z
Right Caudate	12	14	10
Left Caudate	-14	12	11
Right Nucleus Accumbens	8	11	-7
Left Nucleus Accumbens	-10	14	-6
Right Putamen	24	7	-6
Left Putamen	-25	6	-6
Right Amygdala	18	-4	-25
Left Amygdala	-26	-4	-21
Orbitofrontal cortex	1	44	-14
Ventromedial prefrontal cortex	-2	52	-8
Right Hippocampus	26	-17	-16
Left Hippocampus	-22	-14	-28
Right Insula	33	22	0
Left Insula	-34	24	0
Paracingulate gyrus	1	28	40
Right Middle frontal gyrus	28	0	54
Left Middle frontal gyrus	-30	0	54
Right Parietal lobule	34	-82	-8
Left Parietal lobule	-25	-87	-8

**Supplementary Table 1.** Table of MNI co-ordinates for the functional ROIs pertaining to the Reward System and Executive Control System, ascertained from the whole group brain activation responses to visual food cues (both visits combined).

## SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 2

	Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass (RYGB)	Very Calorie (VLCD)	Low- Diet	P-value between treatment groups
N	7	7		
Female: Male	6: 1	4: 3		0.56
Age (years)	51.14 ± 4.15	44.71 ± 4.69		0.33
Weight at baseline (VISIT 1) (kg)	113.63 ± 9.44	106.44 ± 8.59		0.58
Weight with intervention at VISIT 2 (kg)	105.39 ± 9.20	98.24 ± 8.37		0.58
Weight loss between fMRI scans (VISIT 2-VISIT 1) (kg)	-8.24 ± 0.69	-8.20 ± 0.67		0.97
Percentage weight loss between fMRI scans (%)	-7.46 ± 0.75	-7.84 ± 0.62		0.70
Follow up weight one year after study entry (kg)	80.81 ± 5.91	108.85 ± 11.38		0.043
HbA1c at VISIT 1 (mmol/mol)	49.29 ± 3.61	57.86 ± 4.44		0.16
HbA1c at VISIT 2 (mmol/mol)	44.00 ± 2.12	51.43 ± 4.10		0.13
Fasting glucose at VISIT 1 (mmol/L)	8.07 ± 0.80	8.89 ± 1.39		0.62
Fasting glucose at VISIT 2 (mmol/L)	5.94 ± 0.28	6.67 ± 0.45		0.059
Δ Fasting glucose (mmol/L)	-2.14 ± 0.69	-2.22 ± 1.22		0.95
Fasting insulin at VISIT 1 (mIU/L)	20.09 ± 3.25	15.91 ± 1.53		0.27
Fasting insulin at VISIT 2 (mIU/L)	12.18 ± 1.70	10.35 ± 1.14		0.39
Δ Fasting insulin (mIU/L)	-7.91 ± 3.68	-5.56 ± 2.39		0.60
Treatment for diabetes prior to the study	Diet:3 Metformin:4	Diet:1 Metformin:6		0.56

**Supplementary Table 2.** Demographic and clinical characteristics of a subgroup of patients who had RYGB (N=7) and weight matched patients who had VLCD (n=7). Both study groups at baseline (VISIT 1) and at the end of the intervention (VISIT 2) are shown. Continuous variables (checked for normality of distribution) are shown as means ± SEM. P-values represent the results of unpaired t-tests between the RYGB and VLCD treated groups. Categorical variables were analysed using Fisher's exact test.

### SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 3

		Hippocampus		Caudate		Insula		Amygdala		Nucleus Accumbens	
		Pearson $\rho$	<i>P</i> -value	Pearson $\rho$	<i>P</i> -value						
RYGB	Ghrelin	<b>-0.086</b>	<b>0.76</b>	<b>0.084</b>	<b>0.77</b>	<b>-0.283</b>	<b>0.31</b>	<b>0.069</b>	<b>0.81</b>	<b>-0.072</b>	<b>0.8</b>
	GLP-1	<b>0.002</b>	<b>0.996</b>	<b>0.079</b>	<b>0.79</b>	<b>-0.019</b>	<b>0.95</b>	<b>0.033</b>	<b>0.91</b>	<b>0.244</b>	<b>0.38</b>
	PYY	<b>-0.209</b>	<b>0.46</b>	<b>-0.130</b>	<b>0.64</b>	<b>-0.067</b>	<b>0.81</b>	<b>-0.096</b>	<b>0.73</b>	<b>-0.324</b>	<b>0.24</b>
	GIP	<b>-0.389</b>	<b>0.15</b>	<b>-0.472</b>	<b>0.08</b>	<b>-0.360</b>	<b>0.19</b>	<b>-0.328</b>	<b>0.23</b>	<b>-0.383</b>	<b>0.16</b>
VLCD	Ghrelin	<b>0.303</b>	<b>0.21</b>	<b>0.061</b>	<b>0.81</b>	<b>-0.072</b>	<b>0.77</b>	<b>0.063</b>	<b>0.80</b>	<b>-0.126</b>	<b>0.61</b>
	GLP-1	<b>-0.173</b>	<b>0.52</b>	<b>-0.271</b>	<b>0.31</b>	<b>-0.123</b>	<b>0.65</b>	<b>0.152</b>	<b>0.57</b>	<b>-0.151</b>	<b>0.58</b>
	PYY	<b>-0.125</b>	<b>0.64</b>	<b>-0.332</b>	<b>0.21</b>	<b>-0.073</b>	<b>0.79</b>	<b>-0.142</b>	<b>0.60</b>	<b>-0.156</b>	<b>0.56</b>
	GIP	<b>0.068</b>	<b>0.78</b>	<b>-0.104</b>	<b>0.67</b>	<b>-0.188</b>	<b>0.44</b>	<b>0.123</b>	<b>0.62</b>	<b>0.065</b>	<b>0.79</b>

**Supplementary Table 3.** Correlation between changes in gut hormone levels and reward ROI activity following RYGB and VLCD