Online appendix



Figure A.1. Modelling framework to estimate

Step 1 was used to estimate outcomes (costs, life years and QALYs) and mortality prior to diabetes onset for each year and each individual in the 'at risk of diabetes' health state. Individual-level mortality rate estimated in Step 1 was used to determine the transition to 'death' state from 'at risk of diabetes' state. Step 2 was used following progression to diabetes health state to produce costs, life years and QALYs from diabetes onset to end of simulation.

Relative effectiveness of hypothetical intervention

We estimated the median time to diabetes using the formula: ln(2)/rate. For example, the median time in the placebo arm of the NAVIGATOR trial was 8.6 years (80.4 per 1000 person years). We then added 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 years to the median time and converted it back to a rate. Hence, the new rate of diabetes incidence if the intervention delayed onset by one year was 72.0 per 1000 person years (median time to diabetes 9.6 years).

The hazard ratio was estimated by dividing the new rate of diabetes incidence following intervention by the rate in the placebo arm. Using the example above, for an intervention delaying the onset of diabetes by 1 year the hazard ratio was estimated to be 0.90 (72.0/80.4). Hence, the hazard ratios for interventions delaying the onset of diabetes by 3, 5, 7 and 9 years were 0.74 (59.6/80.4), 0.63 (50.9/80.4), 0.55 (44.4/80.4) and 0.49 (39.3/80.4), respectively.

The estimated hazard ratios were then applied to the rate of diabetes incidence to simulate the impact of the hypothetical interventions. It is worth noting that the hazard ratios were estimated in a scenario that excluded death as a competing risk. Therefore, once death was allowed as a competing risk in the modelling framework the delay in the median time to diabetes onset was larger than 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 years respectively.

Propagating uncertainty

We reduced Monte-Carlo simulation error for predicted outcomes when using UKPDS-OM2 by averaging 5,000 simulations per patient. We propagated parameter

A.2

uncertainty through the model into the different outcomes by performing 300 random draws of the sets of fully correlated coefficients from all risk event equations.

Risk factor trajectories

In the base case, we held risk factors constant from baseline. In sensitivity analysis, we explored the impact of these assumptions by changing the trajectories of HbA1c, BMI, LDL, HDL and systolic blood pressure (SBP) over time based on their values at start of simulation. To achieve this, we used unpublished risk factor equations based on UKPDS longitudinal data. These assume the risk factors to be independent of each other and make future predictions of their values based on age, log of year, sex, risk factor value at baseline, previous year value of risk factor and ethnicity, where applicable. The equations are currently being submitted to a peer-reviewed journal.

| Characteristics | NAVIGATOR | UKPDS-OM2 |
|---|------------|------------|
| Patients | 3058 | 5102 |
| Median follow up post diagnosis of diabetes (years) | 6.5 | 17.6 |
| Age at diagnosis (years) | 66 (6.9) | 52 (9) |
| % men | 52 | 59 |
| % white | 83 | 82 |
| % Asian Indian | N/A | 10 |
| % Afro-Caribbean/Black | 2 | 8 |
| % Oriental | 7 | N/A |
| % Other | 8 | 0 |
| HBA1c (%) | 6.1 (0.6) | 6.5 (1.4) |
| SBP (mmHG) | 136 (16) | 135 (21) |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/l) | 5.2 (1.1) | N/A |
| LDL (mmol/l) | 4.0 (1.1) | 3.5 (1.0) |
| HDL (mmol/l) | 1.3 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.3) |
| Smokers (%)* | 11.2 | 31 |
| BMI (kg/m2) | 31.5 (5.7) | 27.7 (5.3) |
| Previous history (%) | | |
| Atrial fibrillation | 4 | 1 |
| PVD | 1 | 8 |
| M | 15 | 0 |
| IHD | 29 | 0 |
| Stroke | 3 | 0 |
| Amputation | 0 | 0 |
| Renal failure | 1 | 0 |
| Heart failure | 1 | 0 |

| Table A | .1: NAVIGATOF | and UKPDS-OM2 | population | characteristics |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------|-------------------|
| 1 4 6 1 6 7 1 | | | pepalation | ••••••••••••••••• |

N/A: not available

HbA1c First year of baseline n=4675; SBP First year of baseline n=4916; LDL First year of baseline n=4541; HDL first year of baseline n=4579; Smokers n=5,062.

Table A.2: Relative risk for different types of macro and micro-vascular events from a change in duration of diabetes relative to a recently diagnosed patient, holding everything else constant*

| Duration of diabetes (year) | lschaemic heart disease | Myocardial infarction (Men) | Myocardial infarction (Women) | Heart failure | Stroke | Amputation | Blindness in one eye | Renal failure | Ulcer |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|--------|------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------|
| 1 | 1.42 | 1.00 | 1.59 | 1.86 | 1.76 | 3.19 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2 | 1.64 | 1.00 | 1.94 | 2.42 | 2.24 | 5.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 3 | 1.80 | 1.00 | 2.20 | 2.88 | 2.62 | 7.87 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 4 | 1.93 | 1.00 | 2.42 | 3.28 | 2.95 | 10.29 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 5 | 2.04 | 1.00 | 2.61 | 3.64 | 3.24 | 12.75 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 6 | 2.13 | 1.00 | 2.78 | 3.96 | 3.50 | 15.23 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 7 | 2.22 | 1.00 | 2.93 | 4.27 | 3.74 | 17.75 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 8 | 2.30 | 1.00 | 3.07 | 4.55 | 3.97 | 20.28 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 9 | 2.37 | 1.00 | 3.20 | 4.82 | 4.18 | 22.84 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

* estimated using the UKPDS-OM2 equations based on the UKPDS data(14). We predicted the absolute risk of each event at years 1,...,9 and divided it by the predicted risk of a newly diagnosed patient.

| | US | | UK | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Category | Mean estimate | Source | Mean estimate | Source |
| Quality of life decrement | | | | |
| Initial utility | 0.807 | Alva 2014(16) | 0.807 | Alva 2014(16) |
| IHD | 0.000 | Alva 2014(16) | 0.000 | Alva 2014(16) |
| MI | -0.065 | Alva 2014(16) | -0.065 | Alva 2014(16) |
| Heart failure | -0.101 | Alva 2014(16) | -0.101 | Alva 2014(16) |
| Stroke | -0.165 | Alva 2014(16) | -0.165 | Alva 2014(16) |
| Amputation | -0.172 | Alva 2014(16) | -0.172 | Alva 2014(16) |
| Blindness | 0.000 | Alva 2014(16) | 0.000 | Alva 2014(16) |
| Renal failure | -0.330 | Lung 2011 (18) | -0.330 | Lung 2011 (18) |
| Ulcer | -0.210 | Lung 2011 (18) | -0.210 | Lung 2011 (18) |
| Costs | | | | |
| IGT management costs‡ | \$6,762 | Khan 2017 and ADA 2018 | £636-£2,149* | DPP(22) and Alva 2015(17) |
| Diabetes management | \$9,158 | ADA 2018 (21) | £827-£2.792* | Alva 2015(17) |
| costs± | <i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i> | , (B) (2010 (21) | 2021 22,102 | / |
| In the vear of non-fatal events | 5 | | | |
| IHD | , \$24,617 | Ward 2014 (15) | £10,276-£18,785* | Alva 2015(17) |
| MI | \$64,912 | Ward 2014 (15) | £7,265-£13,102* | Alva 2015(17) |
| Heart failure | \$27,322 | Ward 2014 (15) | £3,807-£6,332* | Alva 2015(17) |
| Stroke | \$48,437 | Ward 2014 (15) | £7,092-£11,931* | Alva 2015(17) |
| Amputation | \$10,397 | Ward 2014 (15) | £12,310-£18,918* | Alva 2015(17) |
| Blindness | \$3,291 | Ward 2014 (15) | £2,858-£5,661* | Alva 2015(17) |
| Renal failure | \$82,472 | Ward 2014 (15) | £20,578 | NHS Blood and |
| | . , | | | Transplant 2009 (20) |
| Ulcer | \$2,469 | Ward 2014 (15) | £7,076 | Kerr 2014 (19) |
| In the year of fatal event | | | | |
| IHD | \$24,617 | Ward 2014 (15) | £4,453-£6,421* | Alva 2015(17) |
| MI | \$64,912 | Ward 2014 (15) | £2,211-£8,004* | Alva 2015(17) |
| Stroke | \$48,437 | Ward 2014 (15) | £4,964-£7,674* | Alva 2015(17) |
| In subsequent years§ | | | | |
| IHD | \$2,189 | Ward 2014 (15) | £1,526-£4,770* | Alva 2015(17) |
| MI | \$2,189 | Ward 2014 (15) | £1,498-£4,575* | Alva 2015(17) |
| Heart failure | \$2,189 | Ward 2014 (15) | £2,075-£5,539* | Alva 2015(17) |
| Stroke | \$17,872 | Ward 2014 (15) | £1,567-£4,755* | Alva 2015(17) |
| Amputation | 0 | Ward 2014 (15) | £3,031-£6,550* | Alva 2015(17) |
| Blindness | \$3,291 | Ward 2014 (15) | £1,037-£3,000* | Alva 2015(17) |
| Renal failure | \$82,472 | Ward 2014 (15) | £20,578 | NHS Blood and |
| | | | | Transplant 2009 (20) |
| Ulcer | \$2,469 | Ward 2014 (15) | £1,072 | Kerr 2014 (19) |

Table A.3: Quality of life mean estimates and costs† used in the simulation exercise. US costs expressed in \$2017 prices and UK costs expressed in £2017 prices

†US costs include medications, outpatient consultations, emergency department visits and inpatient stays(15). UK costs include non-inpatient contacts (e.g. general practitioner, nurse, hospital eye clinic, etc.) and inpatient stay(17). ‡ US diabetes management costs obtained from ADA 2018 excluding costs of complications. UK diabetes management costs obtained from UKPDS and concern costs in the absence of complications(17). IGT costs estimated by applying ratio of IGT and diabetes costs per individual from Khan 2007(23) for the US setting (0.74) and DPP(22) for the UK setting (0.77). § In the US analysis, IGT/diabetes management costs (\$6,762/\$9,158) were added to costs of non-fatal events in the year of the event and in subsequent years. In the UK analysis, management costs were already included in the costs of complications and no further costs were added to them. * Costs varied by sex and age group (up to 50, 51-60, 61-70, 71-80, 81+).

A.6





* Excluding costs of intervention and assuming rate of diabetes progression of 80.4 per 1000 person years.



Figure A.3. Simulating impact of delaying onset of diabetes in at risk population*

*Simulated cumulative incidence of diabetes among individuals with IGT using the observed rate from NAVIGATOR (placebo arm in Nateglinide comparison, 80.4 per 1000 person years) allowing for death as a competing risk. The relative effectiveness of each hypothetical intervention was modelled by shifting the onset of diabetes delay by 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 years for all individuals.

| Outcomes (over 50 years) | No delay | 1 year delay | 3 year delay | 5 year delay | 7 year delay | 9 year delay |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Cumulative incidence of diabetes,% | 67.0 | 64.2 | 58.5 | 52.8 | 47.2 | 41.7 |
| Hazard ratio vs no delay | - | 0.93 | 0.79 | 0.68 | 0.58 | 0.49 |
| US setting* | | | | | | |
| Life years | 11.90 | 11.94 | 12.01 | 12.06 | 12.11 | 12.15 |
| | (11.65-12.13) | (11.72-12.15) | (11.82-12.18) | (11.91-12.20) | (11.99-12.22) | (12.06-12.24) |
| QALYs | 9.51 | 9.55 | 9.61 | 9.66 | 9.71 | 9.75 |
| | (9.32-9.68) | (9.38-9.71) | (9.47-9.74) | (9.55-9.77) | (9.62-9.79) | (9.67-9.82) |
| Costs (excluding intervention) | \$161,457 | \$159,629 | \$155,972 | \$152,838 | \$150,169 | \$147,918 |
| | (156792-166367) | (155250-164380) | (152056-160414) | (149144-157007) | (146453-154398) | (144201-152244) |
| Δ Life years vs no delay | - | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.25 |
| | | (0.02 to 0.06) | (0.05 to 0.15) | (0.07 to 0.25) | (0.10 to 0.32) | (0.12 to 0.38) |
| Δ QALY vs no delay | - | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.25 |
| | | (0.02 to 0.06) | (0.05 to 0.15) | (0.09 to 0.23) | (0.12 to 0.30) | (0.15 to 0.36) |
| ∆ Costs vs no delay | - | -\$1,828 | -\$5,485 | -\$8,620 | -\$11,288 | -\$13,539 |
| (excluding intervention) | | (-2423 to -1262) | (-7075 to -3987) | (-10994 to -6443) | (-14272 to -8569) | (-16993 to -10361) |
| Max annual cost of intervention to | - | \$864 | \$2,004 | \$2,797 | \$3,370 | \$3,795 |
| be cost-effective at \$100,000/QALY | | (764-964) | (1733-2275) | (2389-3206) | (2848-3892) | (3176-4413) |
| UK setting** | No delay | 1 year delay | 3 year delay | 5 year delay | 7 year delay | 9 year delay |
| Life years | 11.43 | 11.47 | 11.53 | 11.58 | 11.62 | 11.65 |
| | (11.19-11.64) | (11.25-11.66) | (11.35-11.68) | (11.43-11.70) | (11.51-11.72) | (11.56-11.73) |
| QALYs | 9.13 | 9.17 | 9.23 | 9.28 | 9.32 | 9.35 |
| | (8.94-9.30) | (9.00-9.32) | (9.10-9.35) | (9.17-9.37) | (9.23-9.40) | (9.28-9.42) |
| Costs (excluding intervention) | £38,321 | £38,078 | £37,549 | £37,088 | £36,689 | £36,348 |
| | (37208-39470) | (37057-39146) | (36638-38471) | (36344-37922) | (36034-37426) | (35746-37040) |
| Δ Life years vs no delay | | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.23 |
| | | (0.02 to 0.06) | (0.04 to 0.16) | (0.06 to 0.25) | (0.08 to 0.31) | (0.09 to 0.36) |
| Δ QALY vs no delay | | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.22 |
| | | (0.02 to 0.06) | (0.05 to 0.15) | (0.08 to 0.22) | (0.10 to 0.28) | (0.12 to 0.33) |
| ∆ Costs vs no delay | | -£242 | -£772 | -£1,233 | -£1,631 | -£1,973 |
| (excluding intervention) | | (-385 to -107) | (-1166 to -395) | (-1817 to -659) | (-2361 to -899) | (-2813 to -1141) |
| Max annual cost of intervention to | - | £478 | £982 | £1,262 | £1,433 | £1,533 |
| be cost-effective at £20,000/QALY | | (384-573) | (762-1202) | (963-1560) | (1082-1784) | (1143-1922) |

Table A.4.Outcomes of population at risk of diabetes progression (80.4 per 1000 person years) with relative effectiveness of each hypothetical intervention modelled by shifting the onset of diabetes by 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 years for all individuals.

*discounted at 3%; **discounted at 3.5%

Table A.5: Maximum annual cost of intervention in the US and UK for intervention to be cost-effective relative to no delay by varying the rate of progression to diabetes with relative effectiveness of each hypothetical intervention modelled by shifting the onset of diabetes by 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 years for all individuals.

| Annual rate of progression (per 1000 person years) | 1 year delay | 3 year delay | 5 year delay | 7 year delay | 9 year delay |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| US setting* | | | | | |
| 45.5 | \$490 | \$1,208 | \$1,749 | \$2,162 | \$2,480 |
| 80.4 (base case) | \$864 | \$2,004 | \$2,797 | \$3,370 | \$3,795 |
| 114.3 | \$1,225 | \$2,684 | \$3,632 | \$4,286 | \$4,756 |
| 288 | \$3,049 | \$5,225 | \$6,306 | \$6,951 | \$7,380 |
| 693 | \$7,050 | \$8,378 | \$8,899 | \$9,183 | \$9,381 |
| UK setting** | | | | | |
| 45.5 | £248 | £561 | £764 | £901 | £989 |
| 80.4 (base case) | £478 | £982 | £1262 | £1433 | £1533 |
| 114.3 | £704 | £1332 | £1643 | £1821 | £1918 |
| 288 | £1689 | £2429 | £2694 | £2825 | £2882 |
| 693 | £3091 | £3362 | £3459 | £3506 | £3512 |

*discounted at 3% and using \$100,000 per QALY threshold; **discounted at 3.5% and using £20,000 per QALY threshold

| Outcomes (over 50 years) | No delay | 1 year delay | 3 year delay | 5 year delay | 7 year delay | 9 year delay |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|---|
| Cumulative incidence of diabetes,% | 67.0 | 63.8 | 58.2 | 53.4 | 49.3 | 45.7 |
| Hazard ratio vs no delay | - | 0.92 | 0.79 | 0.69 | 0.61 | 0.55 |
| US setting* | | | | | | |
| Life years | 11.90 | 11.92 | 11.97 | 12.00 | 12.03 | 12.05 |
| | (11.67-12.13) | (11.70-12.14) | (11.77-12.17) | (11.82-12.18) | (11.86-12.20) | (11.89-12.21) |
| QALYs | 9.51 | 9.53 | 9.57 | 9.60 | 9.63 | 9.65 |
| | (9.33-9.69) | (9.36-9.71) | (9.42-9.73) | (9.46-9.75) | (9.50-9.77) | (9.53-9.78) |
| Costs (excluding intervention) | \$176,734 | \$176,298 | \$175,562 | \$174,966 | \$174,475 | \$174,062 |
| / | (172008-181632) | (171699-180942) | (171237-179815) | (170823-179155) | (170483-178626) | (170109-178180) |
| Δ Life years vs no delay | - | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.10 | Ò.13 | 0.15 |
| | | (0.01 to 0.04) | (0.04 to 0.10) | (0.05 to 0.15) | (0.07 to 0.19) | (0.08 to 0.22) |
| Δ QALY vs no delay | - | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.15 |
| | | (0.01 to 0.03) | (0.04 to 0.09) | (0.06 to 0.13) | (0.08 to 0.17) | (0.09 to 0.20) |
| Δ Costs vs no delay | | -\$436 | `-\$1,172 [´] | - \$1,768 | -\$2,260 | -\$2,672 |
| , | | (-720 to -184) | (-1937 to -494) | (-2924 to -744) | (-3739 to -950) | (-4423 to -1123) |
| Max annual cost of intervention to | | `\$427 [´] | `\$1,045 | `\$1,469 ´ | `\$1,778 | `\$2,013 |
| be cost-effective at \$100,000/QALY | | (350-503) | (854-1236) | (1197-1741) | (1445-2111) | (1632-2393) |
| UK setting** | | | | · · · · · · | (/ / | (, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| Life years | 11.43 | 11.45 | 11.49 | 11.52 | 11.54 | 11.56 |
| | (11.21-11.63) | (11.25-11.64) | (11.30-11.67) | (11.35-11.68) | (11.38-11.69) | (11.41-11.70) |
| QALYs | 9.13 | 9.15 | 9.19 | 9.22 | 9.24 | 9.26 |
| | (8.97-9.29) | (9.00-9.31) | (9.05-9.33) | (9.09-9.35) | (9.12-9.37) | (9.15-9.38) |
| Costs (excluding intervention) | £40,223 | `£40,139´ | £39,998 | £39,884 | £39,790 ́ | £39,711 ´ |
| | (39089-41366) | (39054-41236) | (38986-41014) | (38934-40830) | (38891-40676) | (38856-40562) |
| Δ Life years vs no delay | - | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.13 |
| | | (0.01 to 0.03) | (0.04 to 0.09) | (0.05 to 0.13) | (0.07 to 0.17) | (0.08 to 0.20) |
| Δ QALY vs no delay | - | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.13 |
| | | (0.01 to 0.03) | (0.04 to 0.08) | (0.06 to 0.12) | (0.08 to 0.16) | (0.09 to 0.18) |
| Δ Costs vs no delay | - | -£84 | _£225 | -£339 | -£433 | _£512 |
| (excluding intervention) | | (-148 to -21) | (-403 to -55) | (-611 to -83) | (-781 to -105) | (-924 to -124) |
| Max annual cost of intervention to | - | £182 | £446 | £627 | £758 | £858 |
| be cost-effective at £20,000/QALY | | (151-214) | (367-525) | (513-740) | (619-897) | (698-1017) |

Table A.6.Outcomes of US and UK population at risk of diabetes (80.4 per 1000 person years) conditional on effectiveness of hypothetical intervention and assuming IGT management costs to be the same as diabetes management costs (\$9,158 and £827-£2,792 per year)

*discounted at 3%; **discounted at 3.5%

Table A.7: Maximum annual cost of intervention in the US and UK for intervention to be cost-effective relative to no delay by varying the rate of progression to diabetes and assuming IGT management costs to be the same as diabetes management costs (\$9,158 and £827-£2,792 per year)

| Annual rate of progression (per 1000 person years) | 1 year delay | 3 year delay | 5 year delay | 7 year delay | 9 year delay |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| US setting* | | | | | |
| 45.5 | \$168 | \$447 | \$667 | \$846 | \$993 |
| 80.4 (base case) | \$427 | \$1,045 | \$1,469 | \$1,778 | \$2,013 |
| 114.3 | \$716 | \$1,637 | \$2,202 | \$2,583 | \$2,855 |
| 288 | \$2,204 | \$3,938 | \$4,660 | \$5,050 | \$5,292 |
| 693 | \$4,945 | \$6,468 | \$6,855 | \$7,026 | \$7,119 |
| UK setting** | | | | | |
| 45.5 | £71 | £189 | £282 | £358 | £420 |
| 80.4 (base case) | £182 | £446 | £627 | £758 | £858 |
| 114.3 | £307 | £702 | £944 | £1,107 | £1,223 |
| 288 | £961 | £1,714 | £2,026 | £2,194 | £2,297 |
| 693 | £2,165 | £2,835 | £3,003 | £3,076 | £3,114 |

*discounted at 3% and using \$100,000 per QALY threshold; **discounted at 3.5% and using £20,000 per QALY threshold





Table A.8: Maximum annual cost of intervention in the US for intervention to be cost-effective relative to no delay by varying the rate of progression to diabetes and allowing risk factors to change over time (scenario 1 and 2)*

| Annual rate of progression | 1 year delay | 3 year delay | 5 year delay | 7 year delay | 9 year delay |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| (per 1000 person years) | | | | | |
| Base case: | | | | | |
| risk factors held constant | | | | | |
| 45.5 | \$225 | \$596 | \$891 | \$1,129 | \$1,327 |
| 80.4 (base case) | \$567 | \$1,389 | \$1,954 | \$2,367 | \$2,680 |
| 114.3 | \$947 | \$2,170 | \$2,921 | \$3,428 | \$3,792 |
| 288 | \$2,857 | \$5,144 | \$6,110 | \$6,636 | \$6,964 |
| 693 | \$6,144 | \$8,238 | \$8,818 | \$9,085 | \$9,235 |
| Scenario 1: | | | | | |
| risk factors were predicted annually from | | | | | |
| baseline onwards regardless of diabetes | | | | | |
| onset | | | | | |
| 45.5 | \$239 | \$633 | \$946 | \$1,200 | \$1,410 |
| 80.4 (base case) | \$599 | \$1,468 | \$2,068 | \$2,506 | \$2,839 |
| 114.3 | \$995 | \$2,285 | \$3,081 | \$3,620 | \$4,007 |
| 288 | \$2,946 | \$5,342 | \$6,370 | \$6,936 | \$7,293 |
| 693 | \$6,201 | \$8,426 | \$9,085 | \$9,399 | \$9,582 |
| Scenario 2: | | | | | |
| risk factors were held constant up to | | | | | |
| diabetes onset and then predicted | | | | | |
| annually from that point onwards | | | | | |
| 45.5 | \$245 | \$651 | \$972 | \$1,232 | \$1,447 |
| 80.4 (base case) | \$619 | \$1,515 | \$2,132 | \$2,582 | \$2,923 |
| 114.3 | \$1,033 | \$2,367 | \$3,187 | \$3,740 | \$4,137 |
| 288 | \$3,115 | \$5,611 | \$6,665 | \$7,239 | \$7,597 |
| 693 | \$6,664 | \$8,962 | \$9,602 | \$9,896 | \$10,062 |

*discounted at 3% and using \$100,000 per QALY threshold

Table A.9: Maximum annual cost of intervention in the US for intervention to be cost-effective relative to no delay using \$50,000 and \$200,000 per QALY threshold and varying the rate of progression to diabetes (mean and 95%CI)

| Annual rate of progression (per 1000 person years) | 1 year delay | 3 year delay | 5 year delay | 7 year delay | 9 year delay |
|--|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Using \$50,000 per QALY* | | | | | |
| 45.5 | \$154 | \$408 | \$610 | \$773 | \$909 |
| | (134-173) | (355-461) | (530-689) | (672-875) | (789-1029) |
| 80.4 (base case) | \$386 | \$946 | \$1,332 | \$1,613 | \$1,828 |
| | (342-430) | (835-1056) | (1173-1490) | (1419-1808) | (1605-2051) |
| 114.3 | \$642 | \$1,473 | \$1,985 | \$2,331 | \$2,580 |
| | (575-709) | (1313-1632) | (1764-2205) | (2067-2595) | (2283-2877) |
| 288 | \$1,913 | \$3,460 | \$4,119 | \$4,480 | \$4,707 |
| | (1766-2060) | (3166-3755) | (3745-4493) | (4055-4905) | (4246-5168) |
| 693 | \$3,992 | \$5,450 | \$5,871 | \$6,069 | \$6,184 |
| | (3766-4218) | (5073-5826) | (5419-6324) | (5569-6570) | (5649-6718) |
| Using \$200,000 per QALY* | | | | | |
| 45.5 | \$367 | \$973 | \$1,453 | \$1,841 | \$2,163 |
| | (266-468) | (702-1244) | (1044-1861) | (1320-2362) | (1547-2778) |
| 80.4 (base case) | \$930 | \$2,276 | \$3,200 | \$3,873 | \$4,383 |
| | (703-1156) | (1708-2843) | (2387-4013) | (2874-4872) | (3239-5527) |
| 114.3 | \$1,557 | \$3,564 | \$4,794 | \$5,623 | \$6,216 |
| | (1214-1899) | (2747-4380) | (3664-5925) | (4268-6977) | (4693-7739) |
| 288 | \$4,743 | \$8,513 | \$10,091 | \$10,946 | \$11,478 |
| | (3998-5488) | (7014-10012) | (8185-11998) | (8777-13116) | (9123-13832) |
| 693 | \$10,448 | \$13,813 | \$14,712 | \$15,115 | \$15,338 |
| | (9321-11575) | (11914-15712) | (12421-17003) | (12573-17657) | (12620-18057) |

*discounted at 3%